NIST Study – Narcotics Background Quantitation & Screening Summary Report

The Toxicology Laboratory has been working with a representative from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), to facilitate environmental testing of the laboratory. As a participant in a current NIST study, the goal of which is to establish drug background levels present in a forensic science facility, NIST provided the laboratory test kits for the collection of samples.

Using the NIST test kits, 100 samples were collected from sites representing various laboratory areas, including those used for evidence handling, sample preparation, and instrumental analysis. The samples were sent to NIST for analysis, where results from the Toxicology Laboratory will contribute to the study. A summary of testing performed by NIST is attached, with test results listed in Table 1 on page 4 of the report. Of the 100 samples submitted for analysis, five samples had confirmed positive results, and three additional samples had presumptive positive results. The table below provides a key for collection sites listed in Table 1 of the report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Name</th>
<th>Location Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR VC NE</td>
<td>Instrument room ceiling vent cover NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR VC NW</td>
<td>Instrument room ceiling vent cover NW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR VC SW</td>
<td>Instrument room ceiling vent cover SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fridge &amp; LD Side</td>
<td>Fridge 8 left handle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay 5 LC</td>
<td>Bay 5 left (bench left of hood)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345 Entry Floor</td>
<td>345 floor (tile outside main office entry door)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML Table Intake Air</td>
<td>Main lab table (outside vault) ceiling intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N Lab Sink Intake Air</td>
<td>N lab sink ceiling intake (end of bays 1/2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Areas with positive results (confirmed or presumptive) were cleaned by laboratory personnel on July 15th. Additional sample collection using NIST test kits is planned, with samples to be sent to NIST for analysis and inclusion in the study.

The NIST report was provided to personnel at the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) and the Washington State Patrol Industrial Hygienist for review. A team from NIOSH, including ventilation system experts, is scheduled for an on-site visit to the Toxicology Laboratory in early November 2021.
July 7th, 2021

Brian Capron  
Acting Laboratory Manager  
Washington State Patrol  
2203 Airport Way South  
Seattle, WA 98134

Brian,

Thank you for participating in our study. The goal of this project was to establish the narcotics background present in a forensic science laboratory. The following report contains the results from the analysis of 100 samples collected from the Washington State Toxicology Laboratory. The analysis scheme involved a broad screening of over 800 drugs and common excipients and a targeted quantification of 29 drugs.

We would be happy to discuss these results in further detail with you at any time, and hope to continue collaborative efforts in the future. If we can be of any assistance to you, please don’t hesitate to ask.

Sincerely,

Edward Sisco  
Research Chemist  
Materials Measurement Science Division  
National Institute of Standards & Technology  
100 Bureau Dr. Gaithersburg, MD 20899  
Phone: (301)975-2093  
E-mail: edward.sisco@nist.gov
Narcotics Background Quantitation & Screening Summary

Introduction

The recent spike in forensic cases containing highly toxic fentanyl analogues highlights the critical need to safeguard analysts from inadvertently encountering these, or other, compounds through skin adsorption and/or inhalation. Establishing background levels of compounds of interest in a forensic laboratory can provide drug analysts and laboratory quality managers with valuable information to make informed decisions on a range of topics such as: workflow processes, adequate PPE, cleaning protocols, and occupational safety hazards.

Given that trace amounts of narcotics have been reported in a variety of environments including public spaces, and that instruments continue to improve in sensitivity, it is important to monitor the environmental background levels of these compounds. For field and/or screening applications, establishing the background is key to setting instrument detection thresholds and preventing false positives. This is especially critical in environments where there is an expected higher background level such as prisons or border crossings. In a laboratory setting, high environmental background levels can suggest a need to monitor background for quality and health purposes.

Finally, since forensic laboratories continue to struggle with a high number of emerging drug cases and rising backlogs, opportunities for rapid screening / presumptive testing are desired. The ability to screen evidence in a high throughput manner with little to no sample preparation is currently being investigated. To ensure the results from such analysis are from the evidence and not from possible background within the laboratory, a baseline of the environment must be known.

Experimental

Samples were collected with manual Nomex wipes (Part No. DSW1210P) (DSA Detection, North Andover, MA) which are commonly used for particle collection in trace contraband detection. The particle collection efficiency of this material has been previously measured by our laboratory and results demonstrate that it is an adequate substrate for the collection of trace residues off a variety of surfaces. A total of 100 samples were provided to us for analysis. Upon receipt, samples were labeled, at -10 °C until they were processed.

Prior to analysis, the Nomex wipes were trimmed in size to remove the unused area of the wipe. The trimmed wipe was placed in a 10 mL amber glass vial and extracted with 4.0 mL of methanol (Chromasolv Grade, Sigma-Aldrich). The 4.0 mL extract was subsequently split into two 2.0 mL aliquots – one for the presumptive screening analysis and one for the quantitative analysis. Both aliquots were then evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen. The aliquot for the screening analysis was reconstituted in 200 µL of methanol, to concentrate the sample, while the aliquot for quantitation was reconstituted in 500 µL of methanol containing 5 internal standards. 5 µL of the screening aliquot was pipetted onto a Teflon-coated fiberglass wipe for analysis by TD-DART-MS. The quantitation aliquot was directly loaded onto the LC-MS/MS system.

Chemicals & Materials

Analytes for the screening and quantitation studies were obtained from either Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI), Cerilliant (Round Rock, TX), or Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) as 1 mg/mL
standards (when possible) or as pure crystalline material. Solvents for extraction and the LC mobile phase were Chromasolv-grade solvents purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. For quantitation, the 5 deuterated internal standards were: methamphetamine-d₅, heroin-d₉, cocaine-d₃, fentanyl-d₅, and THC-d₉. They were added to 1 L of methanol, providing an internal standard concentration of approximately 1 µg/mL, to be used for the reconstitution of the quantitation aliquot. Wipe materials, both Nomex and Teflon-coated fiberglass, were purchased from DSA Detection and used as-is.

Quantitation of Drugs by LC-MS/MS

In order to have the highest level of sensitivity and specificity for the quantitation runs, a LC triple quadrupole MS operating in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode was used. The system consisted of a Thermo Ulti-Mate 3000 LC system coupled to a ABSciex Q-Trap 4000 mass spectrometer. Separation was achieved using a Restek Raptor Biphenyl column (150 mm x 4.6 mm x 2.7 µm). The analysis time was 15 minutes with a flow rate of 0.75 mL/min and an injection volume of 15 µL. During the run, a 12-minute solvent gradient was used (95 % water / 5 % methanol + 0.1 % formic acid to 100 % methanol with 0.1 % formic) followed by a 3-minute isocratic period (100 % methanol + 0.1 % formic acid). The MS utilized zero-air nitrogen as both the desolvating and nebulizing gases. An electrospray ionization (ESI) source was used with a temperature of 550 °C and a spray voltage of +5500 V. A timed MRM was used to monitor two transitions for all drugs (one for quantitation and one for confirmatory identification) and one transition for each of the 5 internal standards. The MRM detection window was set to 120 s and the target scan time was set to 0.1 s.

Quantitation was calculated by taking the ratio of the peak areas of a drug to the appropriate internal standard and comparing that ratio to a 13-point calibration curve. Absolute concentrations reported in the summary account for the various dilution and sample splitting steps in the extraction process. They do not, however, account for the extraction efficiency of the Nomex wipe, which is typically in the range of 30 % - 40 %.

Presumptive Screening of Drugs and Excipients by TD-DART-MS

The aliquot prepared for the screening analysis was pipetted (10 µL) onto a Teflon-coated fiberglass wipe and analyzed by TD-DART-MS. The TD-DART-MS system used a JEOL AccuTOF JMS T100-1P time-of-flight mass spectrometer (JEOL USA) coupled with a DART ion source (IonSense) and an in-house built thermal desorption unit. A thermal desorber temperature of 270 °C was utilized with a 400 °C DART gas temperature, a +100 V DART exit grid voltage, and nitrogen as the ionization gas. Mass spectrometer settings included operation in positive ionization mode, a +400 V peaks voltage, a +5 V orifice 2 and ring lens voltage, and a mass scan range of 60 m/z – 700 m/z at 1 s/scan. To obtain characteristic molecular and fragmentation spectra, the orifice 1 voltage was cycled between +30 V and +60 V.

Samples were analyzed through direct insertion of Teflon-coated fiberglass wipe into the thermal desorber. Blank wipes were also analyzed in between samples to allow for mass spectra to be background subtracted. PEG-600 was used as a mass calibrant and was analyzed with each batch of samples. The resulting mass spectra were searched against an in-house created library of over 800 drugs and excipients for both the characteristic molecular ions (in the +30 V spectra) and fragment ions (in the +60 V spectra). The screening results reported met the following identification criteria: the protonated molecular ion peak of the compound was present at greater than 10 % relative abundance and within ±5 amu of the calculated accurate mass.
Results

A summary of the samples where quantifiable levels of drugs were detected can be found in Table 1. Of the 29 drugs included in the panel, only cocaine and methamphetamine were identified in quantifiable amounts from the samples provided. Cocaine was found in five samples, ranging from 0.023 µg to 0.037 µg. Methamphetamine was found in four samples ranging from 0.053 µg to 0.14 µg. The quantified amounts reported here do not account for the collection efficiency of the Nomex wipe and have not been normalized to an amount per unit area.

Table 1. Summary results of the quantitation study and screening study. Only samples where detectable levels of material were found are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Name</th>
<th>Cocaine Mass (µg)</th>
<th>Methamphetamine Mass (µg)</th>
<th>Compounds Detected by TD-DART-MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR VC NE</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Cocaine Methamphetamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR VC NW</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Cocaine Methamphetamine Nicotine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR VC SW</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Cocaine Methamphetamine Nicotine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N Lab Sink Intake Air</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML Table Intake Air</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Cocaine Methamphetamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fridge &amp; LD side</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Mannitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay 5 LC</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Mitragynine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345 Entry Floor</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Mitragynine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*n.d. not detected. Mannitol and sorbitol cannot be differentiated by DART-MS.

From the presumptive screening analysis, an additional three compounds were identified as shown in Table 1. Mannitol or sorbitol was presumptively identified in a single sample while mitragynine and nicotine were each presumptively identified in two samples. The presumptive screening by TD-DART-MS also detected methamphetamine and cocaine in a number of the samples where quantifiable levels were obtained. It should be noted that screening identifications are not confirmatory for the presence of those compounds, as no chromatographic separation was completed.

As stated in the opening letter, we would be more than happy to discuss these results with you and other interested members of your lab. If you would like us to analyze samples from additional areas, re-sample after any operational changes, or re-sample to monitor trends, we would be happy to do so. If there is any other way which we could be of assistance or form a stronger collaboration, please let us know.
Disclaimer

Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this document. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the products identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.
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