

ALL-HAZARD INCIDENT COMPLEXITY ANALYSIS

Incident Name:		Date:		WASHINGTON FIRE SERVICES RESOURCE MOBILIZATION PLAN
Incident Number:		Time:		
This Complexity Analysis is weight based on the relevance to Life Safety, Incident Stabilization and Property Conservation				
Complexity Factors				Check if Pertinent
Impacts to life, property and the economy				
Urban interface; structures, developments, recreational facilities or potential for evacuation.			<input type="checkbox"/> 3%	
Community and Responder Safety			<input type="checkbox"/> 5%	
Performance of public safety resources affected by cumulative fatigue.			<input type="checkbox"/> 5%	
Overhead overextended mentally and/or physically.			<input type="checkbox"/> 5%	
Communication ineffective with tactical resources or dispatch.			<input type="checkbox"/> 5%	
Incident action plans, briefings, etc. missing or poorly prepared.			<input type="checkbox"/> 5%	
Resources unfamiliar with local conditions and tactics.			<input type="checkbox"/> 5%	
Potential Hazardous Materials				
Potential of Hazardous Materials			<input type="checkbox"/> 4%	
Weather and other environmental influences				
Unique natural resources, special-designated areas, critical municipal watershed, protected species habitat, cultural value sites.			<input type="checkbox"/> 3%	
Likelihood of cascading events				
Variety of specialized operations, support personnel or equipment.			<input type="checkbox"/> 4%	
Potential crime scene (including terrorism)				
Potential crime scene.			<input type="checkbox"/> 4%	
Potential of terrorism.			<input type="checkbox"/> 5%	
Politically sensitivity, external influences, and media relations				
Sensitive political concerns, media involvement or controversial policy issues.			<input type="checkbox"/> 3%	
Organizational Performance Values and Product Development				
Non-IAP products not being developed or deficient.			<input type="checkbox"/> 3%	
Area involved, jurisdictional boundaries				
Incident threatening/affecting more than one jurisdiction and potential for unified command with different conflicting management objectives.			<input type="checkbox"/> 3%	
Availability of Resources				
Operations are at the limit of span of control.			<input type="checkbox"/> 4%	
Unable to properly staff air operations.			<input type="checkbox"/> 4%	
Limited local resources available for initial attack/response.			<input type="checkbox"/> 4%	
Heavy commitment of local resources to logistical support.			<input type="checkbox"/> 4%	
Existing forces worked 12 hours without success.			<input type="checkbox"/> 4%	
Percentage Score				
If 10% or lower, look at going to or staying at Type 4 Team				
If 10% to 20%, maintain or go to Type 3 Team				
If greater than 20%, consider Type 2 or additional/specialized overhead				

Completed by:

Name:

Date: